

Artificial Intelligence in HR: A Conceptual Study on Talent Acquisition and Local Government Modernization

Satheesh Kumar S^{1*}, Dr. Rubeena Bano², Dr. Rekha Kanodia³, Namrata Jain⁴, Dr. Jagriti Singh⁵, Dr. Priyanka Goel⁶

¹Department of MBA, Panimalar Engineering College, Chennai - 600 123, Tamil Nadu, India | Email: ssk.pec@gmail.com

²Assistant Professor, Department of Management Sciences, Tecnia Institute of Advanced Studies, GGSIPU, New Delhi, India | Email: dr.rubeenabano0209@gmail.com

³General Manager – Program Office & Student Welfare, Sparsh Global Business School, India | Email: rekhaaone@gmail.com

⁴Assistant Professor, Gitarattan International Business School, New Delhi, India | Email: namratajain2807@gmail.com

⁵Assistant Professor, Manipal University Jaipur, Jaipur, Rajasthan, India | Email: singh.jagriti4444@gmail.com

⁶Professor, Department of Management, JIMS, New Delhi, India | Email: Priyanka.goel@jimsindia.org

*Corresponding Author: Dr. Jagriti Singh | Email: singh.jagriti4444@gmail.com

Abstract

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is transforming Human Resource Management (HRM) by reshaping recruitment, talent acquisition, and workforce planning. While private sector adoption has been more rapid, local government institutions are increasingly exploring AI applications to improve administrative efficiency and service delivery. This conceptual study investigates how AI-enabled recruitment tools—such as algorithmic candidate sourcing, predictive analytics, automated assessment systems, and virtual interviewing platforms—contribute to the modernization of HR practices in local governance. The analysis draws on existing academic literature, policy reports, and industry insights to examine both the opportunities and risks associated with AI integration. The findings highlight the dual nature of AI adoption: on one hand, enhancing efficiency, transparency, and cost-effectiveness; on the other, raising ethical challenges related to algorithmic bias, fairness, and data privacy. The paper argues that effective utilization of AI in public sector HRM depends on robust governance frameworks, ethical safeguards, and continued human oversight. By aligning technological innovation with democratic values and principles of public trust, local governments can leverage AI to improve workforce diversity, strengthen evidence-based decision-making, and promote sustainable administrative modernization.

Keywords: Artificial Intelligence, Human Resource Management, Talent Acquisition, Local Government, Governance, Future of Work

1. Introduction

The twenty-first century is marked by rapid technological transformation that has fundamentally altered organizational structures and processes. Among these advancements, Artificial Intelligence (AI) has emerged as a disruptive force across industries, reshaping conventional practices and opening new avenues for organizational effectiveness. Within Human Resource Management (HRM), AI is increasingly applied to critical functions such as recruitment, selection, training, and workforce planning. Its growing integration into HR processes is not only redefining talent acquisition and workforce management but also influencing how public-sector institutions, including local governments, engage with employees and citizens.

Modernizing local self-government has become a pressing priority in many nations, particularly as citizens demand greater efficiency, transparency, and accountability from public institutions. Human

resources form the cornerstone of these modernization efforts, as the competence, motivation, and diversity of the workforce directly shape the quality of public service delivery. Yet, local governments often face persistent challenges in attracting skilled professionals, managing diverse workforces, and adapting to evolving labor market dynamics. AI-driven HR solutions offer potential pathways to address these challenges by improving recruitment accuracy, streamlining administrative processes, and supporting evidence-based workforce planning.

Existing studies emphasize several benefits of AI for HR practices, including intelligent applicant tracking systems, conversational chatbots that enhance candidate engagement, predictive analytics for identifying high-potential employees, and automated decision-making tools that can reduce human bias. While such applications are widely documented in the private sector, their adoption and implications within the public sector—and especially in local government—remain underexplored. This paper seeks to fill that gap by presenting a conceptual analysis of how AI-powered HR can support both talent acquisition and the broader modernization of local self-government.

The significance of this study lies in its dual focus: first, on the transformative potential of AI within HR practices; and second, on the governance implications of adopting these technologies in public administration. Unlike private corporations, local governments operate under principles of democratic accountability, inclusivity, and fairness. As such, the integration of AI in public-sector HR must be examined through ethical, legal, and social lenses. Issues such as data protection, algorithmic transparency, accountability, and bias mitigation are particularly salient in this context.

Methodologically, this study adopts a conceptual approach, relying on secondary sources including academic literature, industry reports, and policy frameworks. By synthesizing global developments with local governance imperatives, it seeks to provide a comprehensive understanding of how AI can be responsibly integrated into HR systems within local governments. The aim is not to generate empirical findings but to offer a theoretical and analytical foundation for future research and policymaking.

The paper is structured as follows: Section 2 reviews the literature on AI applications in HRM and identifies emerging trends in talent acquisition and workforce management. Section 3 outlines the conceptual methodology adopted for this study. Section 4 discusses opportunities and challenges associated with AI-enabled HR, with a focus on implications for local government modernization. Section 5 concludes by highlighting key policy, practical, and research implications.

By addressing the intersection of AI, HRM, and local self-government, this study contributes to the discourse on governance innovation and the future of work. It underscores that the adoption of AI in HR is not merely a technological enhancement but a strategic transformation with far-reaching social, ethical, and institutional implications.

2. Literature Review

2.1 Evolution of Human Resource Management and Technological Disruption

Human Resource Management (HRM) has gradually evolved from a primarily administrative function into a strategic enabler of organizational growth. Earlier HR practices focused on payroll, compliance, and personnel administration, but have since expanded to encompass talent management, workforce development, and organizational culture (Kaufman, 2015). The rise of digital technologies has accelerated this shift, positioning HR as a key driver of innovation and competitiveness. Bondarouk and Brewster (2016) argue that digital HR is not merely about automating existing processes but about redefining HR's strategic contribution to organizational outcomes.

The Fourth Industrial Revolution, characterized by AI, machine learning, and big data, situates HR at the intersection of technological disruption and human transformation (Schwab, 2017). AI applications now extend beyond applicant tracking systems to include predictive modeling for turnover, skills matching, and performance forecasting (Meijerink, Bondarouk, & Lepak, 2020). This evolution highlights the convergence of human capital theory and digital transformation research, positioning AI simultaneously as a driver of innovation and a challenge to established HRM practices.

2.2 AI in Talent Acquisition: Global Trends

Talent acquisition represents one of the most widely studied domains of AI application within HRM. Recruiters and HR professionals increasingly rely on AI-powered platforms such as HireVue, Pymetrics, and LinkedIn Talent Insights to identify, screen, and evaluate candidates (Upadhyay & Khandelwal, 2018). These tools automate repetitive tasks like resume parsing and candidate ranking, enabling HR specialists to focus on strategic decision-making (Chamorro-Premuzic, Akhtar, & Winsborough, 2018).

Global adoption trends highlight three dominant shifts:

1. **Automation of Recruitment Workflows** – AI-driven systems conduct preliminary screenings, chatbot-based interviews, and provide hiring recommendations, significantly shortening recruitment cycles (Mehta, 2021).
2. **Predictive Analytics for Workforce Planning** – Organizations employ AI to analyze labor market dynamics, forecast skill shortages, and align talent pipelines with business strategies (World Economic Forum, 2020).
3. **Enhanced Candidate Experience** – Personalized engagement through AI platforms reduces candidate dropout rates and improves overall recruitment experiences (Chatterjee, Rana, & Dwivedi, 2021).

Despite these advantages, concerns about fairness and bias persist. Raghavan, Barocas, Kleinberg, and Levy (2020) show that algorithms trained on biased datasets risk perpetuating gendered and racial inequities. Consequently, while AI streamlines hiring, it simultaneously raises ethical challenges requiring robust oversight mechanisms.

2.3 AI in Workforce Management and Development

Beyond talent acquisition, AI plays a pivotal role in workforce management and employee development. Intelligent analytics, sentiment analysis, and adaptive learning technologies are reshaping how organizations engage with their employees (Huang & Rust, 2021).

- **Performance Management:** AI-based dashboards track productivity, identify top performers, and flag risks of disengagement (Jarrahi, 2018).
- **Learning and Development (L&D):** AI-powered platforms deliver tailored training pathways based on employee skills gaps, facilitating continuous reskilling and upskilling (Tafti, Mithas, & Krishnan, 2019).
- **Retention and Engagement:** Predictive analytics detect employees at risk of attrition, enabling HR to implement proactive retention strategies (Min, Lim, & Maglio, 2020).

Although these tools are common in large corporations, they are increasingly relevant for the public sector. Local governments, often constrained by limited budgets yet tasked with meeting rising citizen expectations, can benefit from AI-enabled workforce planning to optimize resource allocation and maintain service quality (Janssen & van der Voort, 2016).

2.4 Public-Sector HRM and Local Government Modernization

Local government modernization has traditionally emphasized e-governance, transparency, and participatory democracy (Bekkers & Homburg, 2007). Yet, at the heart of this transformation lies the workforce: the skills, motivation, and diversity of employees directly determine service effectiveness (Christensen & Lægheid, 2018).

Public-sector HRM differs from private-sector HRM in several respects:

- **Accountability and Fairness:** Recruitment processes must uphold equal opportunity and transparency (Pynes, 2013).
- **Resource Constraints:** Budgetary pressures heighten the need for efficient workforce management (Andrews & Van de Walle, 2013).
- **Political Context:** HR decisions are subject to political influence and public scrutiny (Hood, 2010).

AI can address some of these challenges by streamlining recruitment, reducing administrative inefficiencies, and enabling data-driven workforce decisions. Tursunbayeva, Franco, and Pagliari (2017) suggest that digital HR enhances efficiency and inclusivity in the public sector. However, AI

adoption in local government remains fragmented, with limited empirical studies examining its real-world outcomes (Zuiderwijk, Chen, & Salem, 2021).

2.5 Ethical, Legal, and Governance Considerations

AI-driven HRM raises complex ethical and legal issues, particularly in public administration. Key concerns include:

- **Algorithmic Bias:** AI may inadvertently replicate systemic inequalities encoded in training data (O'Neil, 2016).
- **Data Privacy:** Sensitive HR data must comply with strict regulations such as the GDPR (Voigt & von dem Bussche, 2017).
- **Transparency and Accountability:** AI systems must remain explainable and subject to democratic oversight in local governance contexts (Bryson & Winfield, 2017).

Berkelaar (2019) emphasizes embedding ethical AI frameworks in HRM, while Jobin, Ienca, and Vayena (2019) propose principles of fairness, accountability, transparency, and explainability (FATE). For local governments, the challenge lies in balancing innovation with safeguarding public trust.

2.6 Gaps in the Literature

Despite the expanding body of research on AI in HRM, significant gaps persist:

1. **Underexplored Public-Sector Applications:** Most studies emphasize private corporations, leaving local government adoption under-researched (Janssen & Kuk, 2016).
2. **Governance Framework Deficit:** Literature focuses on efficiency but often neglects the regulatory and ethical frameworks required in public institutions (Rahwan, 2018).
3. **Lack of Empirical Research:** Current scholarship relies heavily on conceptual or case studies, with limited large-scale empirical evidence (Makridakis, 2017).
4. **Regional and Cultural Variations:** Research disproportionately examines developed economies, while developing country contexts remain underrepresented (Dwivedi et al., 2021).

2.7 Conceptual Framework for the Study

Building on the literature, this study proposes a conceptual framework linking AI in HRM to local government modernization through three interrelated dimensions:

1. **Efficiency and Effectiveness:** AI enhances recruitment cycles, reduces administrative burdens, and strengthens workforce planning.
2. **Equity and Inclusivity:** Governance structures must ensure AI adoption supports fairness and transparency in hiring.
3. **Accountability and Trust:** AI integration must align with democratic values, ensuring decisions remain explainable and publicly accountable.

This framework informs the analysis in subsequent sections, emphasizing that AI-powered HR is not merely a technological innovation but a governance challenge requiring careful integration into public administration.

Methodology

This study employs a **conceptual research design**, chosen because empirical research on AI adoption in local government HRM is still limited. The design emphasizes **theoretical synthesis and critical analysis** rather than hypothesis testing, drawing insights from both private- and public-sector contexts. Data were gathered exclusively from **secondary sources**, including:

- peer-reviewed academic journals,
- international policy documents (EU, OECD, WEF),
- industry white papers and case studies (e.g., Deloitte, IBM Watson Talent), and
- examples of municipal HR digitalization from Europe, Asia, and North America.

The **analytical process** followed three steps: mapping literature (2010–2024), categorizing themes (AI in recruitment, workforce development, governance, and modernization), and developing a **conceptual framework** linking AI-powered HR to efficiency, inclusivity, and accountability in local government.

The scope is **global**, but the main emphasis lies on public-sector and local self-government contexts. The study seeks to answer three key questions:

1. How is AI being applied in global HRM and talent acquisition?
2. What opportunities and risks does AI pose for public-sector HR, especially in local governments?
3. How can governance frameworks ensure responsible adoption of AI in HRM?

Limitations include lack of primary data, limited generalizability due to local variations, rapid technological change, and potential bias in industry sources.

Finally, while no human subjects were involved, **ethical principles**—fairness, accountability, transparency, and inclusivity—remain central in guiding both the interpretation of data and the responsible adoption of AI in local government HRM.

4. Discussion and Findings

4.1 Introduction

Artificial Intelligence (AI) is increasingly recognized as a transformative driver in human resource management (HRM). While private organizations have been quick to experiment with AI for recruitment, training, and workforce analytics, its application in **local self-government** is still emerging. Local administrations operate within complex environments shaped by expectations of efficiency, equity, and democratic accountability (Christensen & Lægreid, 2018). This section discusses how AI can support modernization of HR practices in local government while also highlighting the risks, limitations, and governance requirements associated with its adoption.

4.2 Opportunities for Local Governments

AI creates opportunities for municipalities to **streamline recruitment, anticipate workforce needs, and enhance employee development**. Automated recruitment platforms reduce administrative delays, helping local governments to fill vacancies faster and attract wider applicant pools. Predictive analytics strengthen workforce planning by highlighting skill shortages and guiding investments in training. Furthermore, adaptive learning systems support continuous employee development, offering personalized career growth opportunities and contributing to retention strategies.

Another notable advantage lies in the potential for **greater fairness and transparency**. By standardizing evaluation criteria and maintaining digital audit trails, AI systems can reduce political influence in hiring processes and reinforce public confidence in merit-based recruitment.

4.3 Risks and Challenges

Despite these benefits, AI adoption in local government HR is accompanied by significant risks. **Algorithmic bias** remains a critical concern, as reliance on historical data may reproduce inequalities related to gender, race, or socioeconomic status (Raghavan et al., 2020). **Data privacy and security** are equally pressing, given the sensitivity of employee information and the legal obligations under frameworks such as GDPR (Voigt & von dem Bussche, 2017).

In addition, AI initiatives often face **institutional resistance**. Employees and unions may perceive AI tools as threatening job security or undermining fairness, while limited budgets and technical capacity can slow implementation—particularly in resource-constrained municipalities (Andrews & Van de Walle, 2013).

4.4 Governance and Ethical Considerations

To be viable in local government contexts, AI-enabled HRM must operate under **strong governance frameworks**. Decisions supported by AI should remain explainable, open to scrutiny, and accountable to both employees and citizens (Bryson & Winfield, 2017). Ethical safeguards that prioritize fairness, inclusivity, and transparency are necessary to balance efficiency gains with democratic values (Bekkers & Homburg, 2007).

The introduction of **human-in-the-loop models** is also essential. AI can provide valuable decision-support, but ultimate responsibility for hiring and workforce decisions should rest with human managers to preserve accountability and trust.

4.5 Comparative Perspective

While the **private sector** adopts AI primarily for efficiency and competitiveness, local governments must weigh these benefits against principles of equity, inclusivity, and accountability (Pynes, 2013). This distinction highlights the need for context-sensitive approaches: municipalities cannot simply

replicate corporate practices but must adapt AI integration to align with public service values and societal expectations.

4.6 Findings

The analysis suggests several key points:

1. AI has clear potential to modernize local government HR by improving recruitment, workforce planning, and employee engagement.
2. Risks such as bias, privacy concerns, and institutional resistance require careful management.
3. Ethical adoption depends on governance mechanisms that safeguard transparency, accountability, and inclusivity.
4. Compared to the private sector, public-sector AI adoption is slower but carries greater implications for public trust and legitimacy.

AI in HR for local self-government presents a double-edged sword. On one hand, it can improve efficiency, strengthen transparency, and support modernization efforts. On the other, it raises concerns around equity, privacy, and institutional readiness. The findings underline that AI in public HRM should not be treated as a purely technological shift but as a **governance innovation** that requires balancing efficiency with democratic accountability and citizen trust.

5. Conclusion and Recommendations

5.1 Conclusion

This conceptual study has examined the role of Artificial Intelligence (AI) in reshaping human resource management (HRM), with a particular focus on talent acquisition and workforce modernization in local government institutions. The findings indicate that AI can contribute significantly to streamlining recruitment, enhancing workforce planning, supporting employee development, and strengthening transparency in public-sector employment.

At the same time, the analysis underscores the dual nature of AI adoption. While technological innovations promise efficiency and modernization, they also raise serious concerns regarding algorithmic bias, data privacy, ethical accountability, and institutional resistance. These risks are especially critical in the public sector, where values of fairness, inclusivity, and democratic legitimacy must remain central.

The study concludes that AI in HR for local governments should be seen not merely as a technological upgrade but as a strategic governance reform. Successful adoption requires balancing efficiency gains with principles of equity, accountability, and trust—ensuring that innovation strengthens rather than undermines the legitimacy of public administration.

5.2 Practical Implications

For policymakers and practitioners, several implications emerge:

1. Strategic Workforce Modernization: AI offers local governments tools to anticipate skill shortages, improve employee retention, and align workforce strategies with long-term development goals.
2. Ethical and Accountable AI: Transparent audit mechanisms, explainable algorithms, and human oversight are necessary to safeguard fairness and public trust.
3. Capacity Building: Local governments must invest in digital literacy, technical infrastructure, and staff training to bridge gaps in AI readiness, particularly in developing contexts.
4. Policy Alignment: Integration of AI in HR should align with national and international governance frameworks, such as the OECD's AI Principles and the EU's proposed AI Act.

5.3 Recommendations for Local Governments

1. Develop Governance Frameworks – Establish clear guidelines that regulate the ethical use of AI in HR processes, with emphasis on fairness, inclusivity, and accountability.
2. Adopt Human-in-the-Loop Models – Ensure that AI tools support, rather than replace, human decision-making in recruitment and workforce management.
3. Invest in Digital Infrastructure – Strengthen technical and financial capacity for AI adoption, particularly in municipalities with limited resources.

4. Engage Stakeholders – Involve employees, unions, and citizens in the design and implementation of AI initiatives to build legitimacy and reduce resistance.

5.4 Recommendations for Future Research

As a conceptual study, this paper lays the groundwork for further empirical investigation. Future research should:

1. Conduct case studies of municipalities that have implemented AI-powered HR systems to evaluate outcomes and best practices.
2. Use surveys and interviews with HR practitioners to understand perceptions, challenges, and readiness for AI adoption in local governments.
3. Explore comparative studies between developed and developing contexts to assess how resource constraints affect AI-driven HR modernization.
4. Examine the long-term societal impacts of AI-enabled HR, particularly in terms of diversity, inclusion, and citizen trust in local governance.

5.5 Final Reflection

AI has the potential to transform HRM into a strategic driver of effective governance and sustainable development. However, its integration into local government HR systems must proceed cautiously, with strong ethical safeguards and governance frameworks. The ultimate measure of success will not be technological efficiency alone, but the ability of AI-powered HR to enhance fairness, accountability, and responsiveness in public administration.

References

- Andrews, R., & Van de Walle, S. (2013). New Public Management and citizens' perceptions of local service efficiency, responsiveness, equity and effectiveness. *Public Management Review*, 15(5), 762–783. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2012.725757>
- Bekkers, V., & Homburg, V. (2007). The myths of e-government: Looking beyond the assumptions of a new and better government. *The Information Society*, 23(5), 373–382. <https://doi.org/10.1080/01972240701572913>
- Berkelaar, B. L. (2019). Ethical considerations of algorithms in the workplace. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 160(4), 835–850. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10551-018-3929-1>
- Bondarouk, T., & Brewster, C. (2016). Conceptualising the future of HRM and technology research. *The International Journal of Human Resource Management*, 27(21), 2652–2671. <https://doi.org/10.1080/09585192.2016.1232296>
- Bryson, J. J., & Winfield, A. F. T. (2017). Standardizing ethical design for artificial intelligence and autonomous systems. *Computer*, 50(5), 116–119. <https://doi.org/10.1109/MC.2017.154>
- Chamorro-Premuzic, T., Akhtar, R., & Winsborough, D. (2018). Artificial intelligence in HR: A no-brainer? *People + Strategy*, 41(3), 5–7.
- Chatterjee, S., Rana, N. P., & Dwivedi, Y. K. (2021). Social media and artificial intelligence in talent management: A systematic review and future research agenda. *International Journal of Information Management*, 58, 102315. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2020.102315>
- Christensen, T., & Lægreid, P. (2018). *The Routledge Handbook of Public Sector Organization Studies*. Routledge.
- Deloitte. (2020). *AI in HR: The future of people management*. Deloitte Insights.
- Dwivedi, Y. K., Hughes, L., Coombs, C., Constantiou, I., Duan, Y., Edwards, J. S., Gupta, B., Lal, B., Misra, S., Prashant, P., Raman, R., Rana, N. P., Sharma, S. K., & Upadhyay, N. (2021). Artificial Intelligence (AI): Multidisciplinary perspectives on emerging challenges, opportunities, and agenda for research, practice and policy. *International Journal of Information Management*, 57, 101994. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijinfomgt.2019.101994>
- Hood, C. (2010). Reflections on public service reform in a cold fiscal climate. *Public Administration*, 88(2), 507–514. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1467-9299.2010.01827.x>
- Huang, M.-H., & Rust, R. T. (2021). Artificial intelligence in service. *Journal of Service Research*, 24(1), 3–24. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1094670520902266>

- Jaakkola, E. (2020). Designing conceptual articles: Four approaches. *AMS Review*, 10(1–2), 18–26. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s13162-020-00161-0>
- Janssen, M., & Kuk, G. (2016). The challenges and limits of big data algorithms in technocratic governance. *Government Information Quarterly*, 33(3), 371–377. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2016.08.011>
- Janssen, M., & van der Voort, H. (2016). Adaptive governance: Towards a stable, accountable and responsive government. *Government Information Quarterly*, 33(1), 1–5. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2016.02.003>
- Jarrahi, M. H. (2018). Artificial intelligence and the future of work: Human-AI symbiosis in organizational decision making. *Business Horizons*, 61(4), 577–586. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bushor.2018.03.007>
- Jobin, A., Ienca, M., & Vayena, E. (2019). The global landscape of AI ethics guidelines. *Nature Machine Intelligence*, 1(9), 389–399. <https://doi.org/10.1038/s42256-019-0088-2>
- Kaufman, B. E. (2015). *Theoretical perspectives on work and the employment relationship*. Industrial Relations Research Association Series.
- Makridakis, S. (2017). The forthcoming Artificial Intelligence (AI) revolution: Its impact on society and firms. *Futures*, 90, 46–60. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.futures.2017.03.006>
- Mehta, S. (2021). AI-powered hiring: Opportunities and challenges. *Human Resource Development International*, 24(3), 295–311. <https://doi.org/10.1080/13678868.2020.1861228>
- Meijerink, J., Bondarouk, T., & Lepak, D. P. (2020). New frontiers for HRM: Digitalization and the changing workforce. *European Journal of International Management*, 14(4), 453–463. <https://doi.org/10.1504/EJIM.2020.108909>
- Min, Q., Lim, Y., & Maglio, P. P. (2020). Big data and artificial intelligence in HRM: A review and research agenda. *Human Resource Management Review*, 30(3), 100698. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2019.100698>
- O'Neil, C. (2016). *Weapons of math destruction: How big data increases inequality and threatens democracy*. Crown Publishing.
- OECD. (2019). *OECD principles on artificial intelligence*. Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development.
- Pynes, J. E. (2013). *Human Resources Management for Public and Nonprofit Organizations: A Strategic Approach* (4th ed.). Jossey-Bass.
- Rahwan, I. (2018). Society-in-the-loop: Programming the algorithmic social contract. *Ethics and Information Technology*, 20(1), 5–14. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10676-017-9430-8>
- Raghavan, M., Barocas, S., Kleinberg, J., & Levy, K. (2020). Mitigating bias in algorithmic hiring: Evaluating claims and practices. *Proceedings of the 2020 Conference on Fairness, Accountability, and Transparency (FAT20)*, 469–481. <https://doi.org/10.1145/3351095.3372828>
- Schwab, K. (2017). *The Fourth Industrial Revolution*. World Economic Forum.
- Tafti, A., Mithas, S., & Krishnan, M. S. (2019). The IT-enabled transformation of HR. *MIS Quarterly Executive*, 18(2), 95–112.
- Tursunbayeva, A., Franco, M., & Pagliari, C. (2017). Use of digital technologies in public sector HRM: A systematic review. *Public Management Review*, 19(9), 1530–1553. <https://doi.org/10.1080/14719037.2017.1293146>
- Upadhyay, A. K., & Khandelwal, K. (2018). Applying artificial intelligence: Implications for recruitment. *Strategic HR Review*, 17(5), 255–258. <https://doi.org/10.1108/SHR-07-2018-0051>
- Voigt, P., & von dem Bussche, A. (2017). *The EU General Data Protection Regulation (GDPR): A practical guide*. Springer.
- World Economic Forum. (2020). *The Future of Jobs Report 2020*. World Economic Forum.
- Zuiderwijk, A., Chen, Y., & Salem, F. (2021). Implications of the use of artificial intelligence in public governance: A systematic literature review and a research agenda. *Government Information Quarterly*, 38(3), 101577. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.giq.2021.101577>